BANKSTON V. PATENAUDE & FELIX ET AL. (CASE NO. C 07 03396 JW PVT)
NARITA DECLARATION IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANTS' OBJECTIONS TO DECLARATION OF FRED SCHWINN IN SUPPORT OF AWARD OF ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

I, Tomio B. Narita, declare:

- I am an attorney duly licensed to practice before all courts of the State of California. I am a partner with Simmonds & Narita LLP, counsel of record for the defendants in this action, Patenaude & Felix, A Professional Corporation and Raymond Alcide Patenaude (collectively, "Defendants"). I make this declaration in support of Defendants' Objection to Declaration of Fred Schwinn in Support of Award of Attorney Fees and Costs. I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth below, and could and would testify thereto if called upon to do so.
- On December 19, 2007, Defendants served an Offer of Judgment on 2. Plaintiff pursuant to Rule 68 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, a true and correct copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit A. Plaintiff did not accept Defendants' Rule 68 Offer.
- 3. Plaintiff did not attempt to meet and confer with regards to her requested attorney's fees and costs prior to filing the declarations in support of that request. In fact, there has been no communication between counsel for Defendants and counsel for Plaintiff with regards to this matter since before the date of the Court's Order Granting Summary Judgment dated June 13, 2008.

I declare under penalty of perjury under that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed at San Francisco, California on this 15th day of July, 2008 at San Francisco, California.

> s/Tomio B. Narita By: Tomio B. Narita

22 23

24 25

26

27

Exhibit A

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

28

///

PATENAUDE & FELIX, A.P.C.
Raymond A. Patenaude, Esq. (SBN 128855)

Matthew B. Golding, Esq. (SBN 171123)
4545 Murphy Canyon Road, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor

San Diego, California 92123
(858) 244-7600 Fax (858) 836-0318

mattg@pandf.us
rayp@pandf.us

Attorneys for Defendants,
PATENAUDE & FELIX, A.P.C. and RAYM

PATENAUDE & FELIX, A.P.C. and RAYMOND ALCIDE PATENAUDE

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

## NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA - SAN JOSE DIVISION

PATRICIA CLAIRE BANKSTON,

Plaintiff,

PATENAUDE & FELIX, A.P.C. and;
RAYMOND ALCIDE PATENAUDE

Defendants.

CASE NO.: C 07-03396 JW-PVT

OFFER OF JUDGMENT

[FRCP RULE 68]

## TO: PLAINTIFF ABOVE-NAMED, by and through her Attorney:

Frederick W. Schwinn, Esq. Consumer Law Center Inc 12 S. 1st Street, #1014 San Jose, CA 95113

Pursuant to Rule 68 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Defendant Patenaude & Felix, A.P.C. and Raymond Alcide Patenaude, hereby offer to allow judgment to be take against them jointly, and in favor of Plaintiff as follows:

1. Judgment shall be entered against Patenaude & Felix. A.P.C. and Raymond A. Patenaude in the total amount of One Thousand One Dollars (\$1.001.00) for statutory damages. plus Zero Dollars (\$0.00) in actual damages, plus attorneys fees and taxable costs in the amount of Two-Thousand Five Hundred Dollars (\$2.500.00).

1

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

2. The judgment entered in accordance with this Offer of Judgment is to be in total
settlement of any and all claims by Plaintiff against these Defendants, and all individuals and
entities who could be held vicariously liable for the Defendants' alleged actions or omissions,
said judgment shall have no effect whatsoever except in settlement of those claims; and

This Offer of Judgment is made sole for the purposes specified in Rule 68, and is not to be construed either as an admission that Patenaude & Felix, A.P.C. or Raymond Alcide Patenaude is liable in this action, or that Plaintiff has suffered any damage.

In accordance with Rule 68, if this Offer of Judgment is not accepted by Plaintiff within ten (10) days after service of the Offer, the Offer shall be deemed withdrawn and any evidence of this Offer will be inadmissable except in any proceeding to recover costs.

In accordance with Rule 68, if this Offer of Judgment is not accepted by Plaintiff and the judgment finally obtained by Plaintiff is not more favorable than this Offer, Plaintiff must pay its costs incurred after making this Offer, as well as, Defendants' attorney's fees and costs.

Dated: December 17, 2007

NAUDE & FELIX, A.P.C.

Attorneys for Defendants, PATENAUDE & FELIX, A.P.C. and RAYMOND ALCIDE PATENAUDE

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 1		
1	PATENAUDE & FELIX A.P.C. Raymond A. Patenaude, Esq. (#128855)	
2	Victor S. Patenaude, Esq. (#216342)	
3	Michael R. Boulanger, Esq. (#226294) Daniel L. Vinson, Esq. (#214005)	
4	Michael D. Kahn, Esq. (#236898) 4545 Murphy Canyon Road, 3rd Floor	
5	San Diego, CA 92123 Tele: (858) 244-7600 Fax: (858) 836-0318	
6		
7	Attorneys for Plaintiff CAPITAL ONE BANK	
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA	
9	COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA, SAN JOSE DIVISION	
10	CAPITAL ONE BANK	Case No. C 07-03396 JW-PVT
11	Plaintiff,	PROOF OF SERVICE
12	PATRICIA BANKSTON,	
13	and DOES 1 through 15 inclusive,  Defendant(s).	
14		
- 1		•

I, Sarah Reddiconto, declare:

I am a citizen of the United States and I am employed in the County of San Diego, State of California, I am over the age of 18 years and not a party to this action; my business is 4545 Murphy Canyon Road Third Floor San Diego, CA 92123.

I further declare that I am readily familiar with the business practice of Patenaude & Felix, APC for service of documents, that documents served by facsimile are transmitted in and the original deposited with the United States Postal Service in our ordinary course of business on the same day, the documents served by mail are deposited with the United States Postal Service in the ordinary course of business the same day and that documents served personally are delivered the same day.

1

On December 19, 2007, I served the following documents(s): OFFER OF JUDGMENT 2 Fred W Schwinn Consumer Law Center 12 S. First St., #416 San Jose CA 95113 Attorney for Patricia Bankston in Pro Per 5 in the following manner of service (check appropriate): 6 BY MAIL: I placed a true copy in a sealed envelope addressed as indicated above. I 7 am readily familiar with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. It is deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on that same 8 day in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on a motion of party served, 9 service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one date after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit. 10 BY FACSIMILE: I transmitted all documents to all parties in this action by 11 facsimile at the telephone number(s) indicated above and thereafter placed each such sealed envelope, with postage thereon fully prepaid for first-class mail, for collection 12 and mailing at the Law Offices of PATENAUDE & FELIX APC, San Diego, California. 13 14 BY AIRBORNE EXPRESS: I caused such documents to be delivered by Airborne XX Express to the offices of the addressee(s) 15 BY PERSONAL SERVICE: I caused such envelope to be delivered by hand to the 16 addressee(s) above. 17 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California, that the foregoing 18 is true and correct. Executed on December 19, 2007, at San Diego, California. 19 20 Sarah Reddiconto 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28